

Core Structure of Eremophilanes and Bakkanes through Niobium Catalyzed Diels-Alder Reaction: Synthesis of (±)-Bakkenolide A

Mauricio G. Constantino,^{*,†} Kleber T. de Oliveira,[†] Ellen C. Polo,[†] Gil V. J. da Silva,[†] and Timothy J. Brocksom[‡]

Departamento de Química, Faculdade de Filosofia Ciências e Letras de Ribeirão Preto, Universidade de São Paulo, Avenida dos Bandeirantes, 3900, 14040-901, Ribeirão Preto, SP, Brazil, and Departamento de Química, Universidade Federal de São Carlos, 13565-905, São Carlos, Brazil

mgconsta@usp.br

Received August 18, 2006



A suitable intermediate for the synthesis of eremophilanes and bakkanes was prepared by a highly regioselective and stereoselective one-step synthesis through a niobium catalyzed Diels-Alder reaction. As a demonstration of the versatility of this intermediate, a total synthesis of (\pm) bakkenolide A is described.

Eremophilanes are sesquiterpene natural products derived biosynthetically by rearrangement of eudesmanes, containing a *cis*-decalin system with an uncommon *cis*-1,2-dimethyl substitution pattern.¹ Bakkane sesquiterpenes comprise a relatively rare class of natural products biosynthetically derived from the eremophilanes. The bakkanes contain a β -methylene- γ -butyrolactone spiro ring fused to a *cis*-dimethyl-*cis*-hydrindane carbon skeleton;² bakkenolide A (**1**) is the most well-known member (Figure 1).³

Several different biological activities have been described for eremophilanes and bakkanes.² Bakkenolide A (1), for instance, shows cytotoxic activity,⁴ as well as influences on larval growth.⁵ This natural product was isolated for the first time from the stems of a Japanese plant of the Compositae family

(*Petasites japonicus*); two research groups realized the isolation independently. Kitahara et al.^{3a} named the new product after the local name of the plant ("*bakke*"). Similarly, Naya et al.^{3b} used another local name ("*fuki*") of the plant, proposing the nowadays less used name "fukinanolide" for this natural product.

Since the first synthesis of bakkenolide A (1) by Evans,⁶ a number of alternative syntheses, more or less efficient, have been described.⁷ The challenging structural features of these compounds have also attracted the attention of our research group; in a number of papers we have described the synthesis of model β -methylene- γ -spirolactones⁸ and some efforts toward the use of the Diels–Alder reaction for building the main frame.⁹

Recently, we have become interested in investigating the use of niobium pentachloride as a Lewis acid catalyst for a number of reactions.¹⁰ We have found that many Diels-Alder reactions are highly accelerated by NbCl5 and can be carried out even at a temperature of -78 °C,¹¹ thus markedly improving stereoselectivity and regioselectivity, as well as reducing polymerization byproducts. We have thus sought a novel method of producing the eremophilane and bakkane skeleton using this catalyst and obtained excellent results. We have synthesized for the first time the intermediate 4, containing all the main relative stereochemistry of eremophilanes and bakkanes and having reactive functionalities which allow its transformation into most of these natural products. As a demonstration of the versatility of compound 4, we have carried out the synthesis of (\pm) bakkenolide A (1) from 4 incorporating one of our previously described methods for preparing the β -methylene- γ -spirolactone moiety.⁸ Diene **3** was prepared from the corresponding alcohol¹² by protection of the hydroxyl group with the benzyl group.¹³ The synthesis of the adduct 4 was realized through the Diels-

10.1021/jo061722x CCC: \$33.50 © 2006 American Chemical Society Published on Web 11/18/2006

^{*} To whom correspondence should be addressed. Phone: (+55)-16-602-3747. Fax: (+55)-16-602-4838.

[†] Universidade de São Paulo. [‡] Universidade Federal de São Carlos.

^{*} Universidade Federal de Sao Carlo

⁽¹⁾ Porter, J.; Spurgeon, S. L. *Biosynthesis of Isoprenoid Compounds*; John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1981; Vol. 1.

⁽²⁾ Silva Jr., L. F. Synthesis 2001, 671.
(3) (a) Abe, N.; Onoda, R.; Shirahata, K.; Kato, T.; Woods, M. C.; Kitahara, Y. Tetrahedron Lett. 1968, 369. (b) Naya, K.; Takagi, I.; Hayashi, M.; Nakamura, S.; Kobayashi, M.; Katsumura, S. Chem. Ind. 1968, 318.
(c) Naya, K.; Hayashi, M.; Takagi, I.; Nakamura, S.; Kobayashi, M. Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. 1972, 45, 3673.

⁽⁴⁾ Jamieson, G. R.; Reid, E. H.; Turner, B. P.; Jamieson, A. T. *Phytochemistry* **1976**, *15*, 1713.

⁽⁵⁾ Nawrot, J.; Harmatha, J.; Novotny, L. Biochem. Syst. Ecol. 1984, 12, 99.

^{(6) (}a) Evans, D. A.; Sims, C. L. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1973**, 4691. (b) Evans, D. A.; Sims, C. L.; Andrews, G. C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1977**, 99, 5453.

^{(7) (}a) Hayashi, K.; Nakamura, H.; Mitsuhashi, H. Chem. Pharm. Bull. **1973**, 21, 2806. (b) Greene, A. E.; Deprés, J. P.; Coelho, F.; Brocksom, T. J. J. Org. Chem. **1985**, 50, 3943. (c) Back, T, G.; Payne, J. E. Org. Lett. **1999**, 1, 663. (d) Back, T, G.; Nava–Salgado, V. O.; Payne, J. E. J. Org. Chem. **2001**, 66, 4361. (e) Brocksom, T. J.; Coelho, F.; Deprés, J. P.; Greene, A. E.; de Lima, M. E. F.; Hamelin, O.; Hartmann, B.; Kanazawa, A. M.; Wang, Y. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2002**, 124, 15313. (f) Reddy, D. S. Org. Lett. **2004**, 6, 3345.

^{(8) (}a) Campbell, S. F.; Constantino, M. G.; Brocksom, T. J.; Petragnani, N. Synth. Commun. 1975, 5, 353. (b) Petragnani, N.; Brocksom, T. J.; Ferraz, H. M. C.; Constantino, M. G. Synthesis 1977, 112. (c) Petragnani, N.; Brocksom, T. J.; Ferraz, H. M. C.; Constantino, M. G. Quim. Nova 1978, 1, 8. (d) Brocksom. T. J.; Constantino, M. G.; Ferraz, H. M. C. Synth. Commun. 1977, 7, 483.

^{(9) (}a) Brocksom, T. J.; Constantino, M. G. *An. Acad. Brasil. Ciênc.* **1982**, *54*, 655. (b) Brocksom, T. J.; Constantino, M. G. *J. Org. Chem.* **1982**, *47*, 3450.

^{(10) (}a) Constantino, M. G.; Lacerda, V., Jr.; Silva Filho, L. C.; Silva, G. V. J. Lett. Org. Chem 2004, 1, 369. (b) Constantino, M. G.; Lacerda, V., Jr.; Silva, G. V. J. J. Heterocyclic Chem. 2003, 40, 369. (c) Constantino, M. G.; Lacerda, V., Jr.; Silva, G. V. J. Molecules 2002, 7, 456. (d) Constantino, M. G.; Lacerda, V., Jr.; Aragão, V. Molecules 2001, 6, 770. (11) (a) Constantino, M. G.; Silva Filho, L. C.; Cunha Neto, A. Heleno, V. C. G; da Silva, G. V. J. Lopes, J. L. C Spectrochim. Acta A 2005, 61, 171. (b) Silva Filho, L. C.; Lacerda, V., Jr.; Constantino, M. G.; da Silva, G. V. J. Unpublished results.

^{(12) (}a) Muskat, I. E.; Becker, B. C.; Lowenstein, J. S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1930**, 52, 326. (b) Peck, R. L. Chem. Abstr. **1960**, 54, 6719h. (c) Oida, S.; Ohki, E. Chem. Pharm. Bull. **1969**, 17, 1996.

^{(13) (}a) Du, X.; Chu, H. V.; Kwon, O. Org. Lett. **2003**, *5*, 1923. (b) Lubineau, A. Augé, J. Lubin, N. Tetrahedron **1993**, *49*, 4639.

⁽¹⁴⁾ Cunha, S.; Lião, L. M.; Bonfim, R. R.; Bastos, R. M.; Monteiro, A. P. M.; Alencar, K. S. *Quim. Nova* **2003**, *26*, 425.



FIGURE 1. Natural products with similar core structures.

SCHEME 1. Structural Model for the Synthesis of Eremophilane and Bakkane Systems



SCHEME 2. Regioselective and Stereoselective Diels–Alder Reaction Catalyzed by NbCl₅



Alder reaction between diene **3** and tiglic aldehyde (**2**) in anhydrous dichloromethane, using NbCl₅ (0.25 equiv) as a catalyst (Scheme 1). Product **4** is formed through an ortho-endo transition state, usually favored with this type of substrate (Scheme 2).

The one-step preparation of a *cis*-1,2-dimethylated cyclohexane, already properly substituted to allow further transformations, with high regioselectivity and stereoselectivity, is the most remarkable aspect of this process. Compounds **2** and **3** do not react in the absence of Lewis acids; the role of NbCl₅ is very important because it increases reactivity so much that it can now be carried out from -78 to -50 °C, thus reducing diene polymerization and improving stereoselectivity (Scheme 3). Product **4** was isolated by silica gel chromatography with 45% yield. For the purpose of synthesizing bakkenolide A, however, it is more convenient to reduce the crude product directly with LiAlH₄, thus obtaining alcohol **5** in 42% yield over two steps, because reduction of purified **4** with LiAlH₄ (84% yield) gives a lower (38%) overall yield.

The reduction of the double bond of **5** and the hydrogenolysis of its benzyl ether were accomplished in one step by treatment with hydrogen and Pd–C.¹⁵ The resulting diol **6** was transformed into the corresponding diiodide **7** with Me₃SiI,^{7e} thus avoiding possible complications that usually occur when treating 1,4-diols with PX_3 .¹⁶

From this point on, we have used essentially the same methodology previously described for the model compound,^{8a,d}

except that now we have additional stereochemical issues to take into account.

Alkylation of **7** with the anion of methyl 3,3-dimethylacrylate proceeded with high chemoselectivity: only the products alkylated at the less-hindered iodide were isolated (85% yield of the mixture of **8a** and **8b**, epimers at α -carbonyl carbon). This relative stereochemistry is irrelevant for the synthesis, as both epimers will give the same enolate during the ring closure step; we could therefore use the mixture directly, thus avoiding a tedious separation process which was carried out only for analytical purposes.

The cyclization process, on the other hand, produces a new stereogenic center that is a matter of major concern: only the epimer 10, obtained as minor product, could give (\pm) -bakkenolide A (1). Examining a molecular model of the enolate from 8, we could see that the preference for the transformation of this enolate into 10 or 11 should be determined by the difference in steric hindrance between the groups CO₂R and C(CH₃)= CH₂; it seems that a larger ester group would improve the preference for the desired epimer 10.

These considerations were confirmed when we were able to improve the ratio of epimers from 18:82 (10/11) to 50:50 (12/13) just by changing the ester group from $-CO_2Me$ to $-CO_2t$ -Bu.

For the following steps, we have used the mixture of epimers (**12/13**): treatment with NBS followed by lactonization with Ag₂O gave a mixture of (\pm)-bakkenolide A (**1**) and (\pm)-7-*epi*-bakkenolide A (**16**) in 65% yield and thus 13.3% overall yield in eight steps. As already described in the literature,^{7d} (\pm)-bakkenolide A (**1**) can be obtained from this mixture. For analytical purposes we have realized the separation by HPLC.

In conclusion, compound **4**, a useful intermediate for the synthesis of bakkanes and eremophilanes, was prepared through a low-temperature niobium pentachloride catalyzed Diels-Alder reaction. A notable aspect of this reaction is the production, in just one step, of three stereogenic centers with the correct relative configuration and with high regioselectivity. The parent bakkane, bakkenolide A, was prepared in racemic form in 10 steps from β -vinylacrylic acid. The overall yield of a 1:1 mixture of (±)-bakkenolide A and its epimer at C7 is 8.9%.

Experimental Section

(\pm)-{(1*R*,2*S*,6*S*)-2-[(Benzyloxy)methyl]-1,6-dimethylcyclohex-3-en-1-yl}methanol (5) Obtained Directly from 2 and 3. To a solution of NbCl₅ (405 mg, 1.50 mmol) in 7 mL of CH₂Cl₂ at -78 °C was added a solution of tiglic aldehyde 2 (2.02 g, 24.0 mmol) in 7 mL of CH₂Cl₂ at -78 °C. After the mixture was stirred for 2 min, we added a solution of diene 3 (1.05 g, 6.00 mmol) in 7 mL of CH₂Cl₂ at -78 °C. After 30 min, the temperature was raised to -50 °C and the stirring was maintained for 72 h. After that, water

⁽¹⁵⁾ Greene, T. W.; Wuts, P. G. M. Protective Groups in Organic Synthesis, 2nd ed.; John Wiley & Sons: New York, 1991.

⁽¹⁶⁾ Constantino, M, G.; Souza, A. X.; da Silva, G. V. J. *Molecules* **2002**, *7*, 475.

JOC Note

SCHEME 3. Synthesis of (\pm) -Bakkenolide A (1) and 7-epi- (\pm) -Bakkenolide A (16)^a



^{*a*} Conditions: (a) (i) NbCl₅, CH₂Cl₂, $-78 \degree C \rightarrow -50 \degree C$, 72 h; (ii) LiAlH₄, THF, $0 \degree C$, 1 h (42%, two steps). (b) H₂, Pd–C, ethanol, 4 atm, 4 h (99%). (c) Me₃SiI, CHCl₃, rt, 120 h (70%). (d) Methyl 3,3-dimethylacrylate, LDA/HMPA/THF, $-78 \degree C \rightarrow 0 \degree C$, 30 min (85% of diastereoisomeric mixture). (e) *tert*-Butyl 3,3-dimethylacrylate, ¹⁴ LDA/HMPA/THF, $-78 \degree C \rightarrow 0 \degree C$, 30 min (92% of diastereoisomeric mixture). (f) LDA/HMPA/THF, $-78 \degree C$ (3 h for R = Me in 57% yield; 7 h for R = *t*-Bu in 77% yield). (g) NBS, benzoyl peroxide, CCl₄, $h\nu$, 3 h (95%). (h) Ag₂O, CCl₄, reflux, 3 h (68%).

(20 mL) was added and the aqueous phase was extracted with CH2- Cl_2 (4 \times 30 mL). The combined organic phase was washed with brine and dried (MgSO₄). After solvent evaporation, the crude product was dissolved in 20 mL of THF and added to a suspension of LiAlH₄ (1.0 g, 25 mmol) in 20 mL of THF at 0 °C. The reaction mixture was stirred for 1 h and quenched with water (50 mL) at 0 °C. The aqueous phase was extracted with ether (4×50 mL), and the combined organic layer was washed with brine and dried (MgSO₄). After solvent evaporation, the clear oil was purified by column chromatography (silica gel, hexanes with 5% ethyl acetate) to afford the alcohol 5 (656 mg, 2.52 mmol) in 42% yield. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz) δ (ppm): 0.82 (d, 3H, J = 6.6 Hz), 0.89 (s, 3H), 1.60–1.74 (m, 2H), 1.94 (dddd, 1H, $J_1 = 13.0$ Hz, $J_2 = 4.8$ Hz, $J_3 = 2.3$ Hz, and $J_4 = 1.0$ Hz), 2.27 (dddd, 1H, $J_1 = 8.8$ Hz, $J_2 = 5.2$ Hz, $J_3 = 2.4$ Hz, and $J_4 = 1.7$ Hz), 3.36 (dd, 1H, $J_1 =$ 10.1 Hz and $J_2 = 2.4$ Hz), 3.38 (d, 1H, J = 11.9 Hz), 3.50 (d, 1H, J = 11.9 Hz), 3.55 (dd, 1H, $J_1 = 10.1$ Hz and $J_2 = 8.8$ Hz), 4.53 (br, 2H), 5.48 (ddt, 1H, $J_1 = 10.0$ Hz, $J_2 = 5.2$ Hz, and $J_3 = 1.0$ Hz), 5.65 (ddt, 1H, $J_1 = 10.0$ Hz, $J_2 = 4.8$ Hz, and $J_3 = 1.7$ Hz), 7.27–7.40 (m, 5H). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 100 MHz) δ (ppm): 15.0 (CH₃), 16.1 (CH₃), 29.3 (CH), 31.9 (CH₂), 39.0 (C), 43.7 (CH), 68.2 (CH₂), 71.0 (CH₂), 73.6 (CH₂), 126.4 (CH), 128.0 (CH), 128.0 (CH), 127.6 (CH), 128.1 (CH), 128.6 (CH), 137.2 (C). IR v_{max} (neat KBr) (cm⁻¹): 698, 736, 1092, 1494, 2880, 2962, 3024, 3140-3658. HRMS (ESI-TOF): calculated for $C_{17}H_{25}O_2^+$ (MH⁺), 261.1849; found, 261.1859 ($\Delta = 3.8$ ppm). Anal. Calcd for C₁₇H₂₄O₂: C, 78.42; H, 9.29. Found: C, 78.80; H, 9.54.

 (\pm) -(2S) and (\pm) -(2R)-tert-Butyl 2-{[(1R,2R,3S)-2-(Iodomethyl)-2,3-dimethyl-cyclohexyl]methyl}-3-methylbut-3-enoate (9a) and (9b). To a solution of diisopropylamine (0.33 mL, 239 mg, 2.36 mmol) in 4 mL of THF at 0 °C was added 1.00 mL (2.15 mmol) of a 2.15 mol/L solution of n-butyllithium in hexanes. The mixture was stirred for 15 min, and HMPA (0.46 mL, 2.65 mmol) was added and stirred for an additional time of 15 min. The solution of LDA/HMPA was cooled to -78 °C, and a solution of tert-butyl 3,3-dimethylacrylate (343 mg, 2.20 mmol) in 0.5 mL of THF was added and stirred for 20 min. The diiodide 7 (420 mg, 1.07 mmol) in 2 mL of THF cooled to -78 °C was then added, and the reaction mixture was stirred for 3 min. The temperature of the reaction system was slowly increased up to 0 °C (\sim 30 min), and the mixture was stirred for 30 min. The reaction was quenched with water (20 mL), and the aqueous phase was extracted with ether $(3 \times 40 \text{ mL})$. The combined organic phases were washed with brine and dried (MgSO₄). After solvent evaporation, the yellow oil was purified by column chromatography (silica gel, hexanes with 5% ethyl acetate) to afford a mixture of diastereoisomers 9a and 9b (416 mg, 0.99 mmol) in 92% yield. The analysis of 9a/9b was realized by comparison of the ¹H NMR data of the mixture of 8a/8b. IR ν_{max} (neat KBr) (cm⁻¹): 896, 1148, 1460, 1648, 1726, 2930, 2972.

HRMS (ESI–TOF): calculated for $C_{19}H_{34}IO_2^+$ (MH⁺), 421.1598; found, 421.1585 ($\Delta = 3.1$ ppm).

 (\pm) -tert-Butyl (2R,3aR,4S,7aR)-2-Isopropenyl-3a,4-dimethyl-octahydro-1H-indene-2-

carboxylate (12) and (\pm) -*tert*-Butyl(2S,3aR,4S,7aR)-2-Isopropenyl-3a,4-dimethyloctahydro-

1H-indene-2-carboxylate (13). To a solution of diisopropylamine (0.35 mL, 253 mg, 2.50 mmol) in 4 mL of THF at 0 °C was added 1.10 mL (2.32 mmol, 2.10 mol/L) of a solution of *n*-butyllithium in hexanes. The mixture was stirred at 15 min, and HMPA (0.42 mL, 2.40 mmol) was added and stirred for 15 min. The solution of LDA/HMPA was cooled to -78 °C, a solution of 9a/9b (490 mg, 1.16 mmol) in 2.5 mL of THF cooled to -78 °C was added, and the reaction mixture was then stirred for 7 h. The temperature of the reaction system was slowly increased up to 0 °C (~30 min) and then quenched with water (20 mL). The aqueous phase was extracted with ether $(3 \times 40 \text{ mL})$, and the combined organic phases were washed with brine and dried (MgSO₄). After solvent evaporation, the yellow oil was purified by column chromatography (silica gel, hexanes with 5% ethyl acetate) to afford a mixture of 12 and 13 in a ratio of 1:1 (270 mg, 0.89 mmol) in 77% yield. This proportion was determined by analysis of the ¹H NMR data of the epimeric mixture. IR ν_{max} (neat KBr) (cm⁻¹): 1152, 1460, 1638, 1720, 2926, 2964. HRMS (ESI-TOF): calculated for C₁₉H₃₃O₂⁺ (MH⁺), 293.2475; found, 293.2471 ($\Delta = 1.4$ ppm).

 (\pm) -Bakkenolide A (1) and (\pm) -7-epi-Bakkenolide (A) (16). To a solution of esters 14 and 15 (70 mg, 0.19 mmol, 1:1 epimeric mixture) in 3 mL of CCl₄ was added 130 mg (0.56 mmol) of Ag₂O. The reaction mixture was refluxed for 3.5 h. The solid residue was filtered (silica gel and celite layer) and washed with CCl_4 (3 \times 5 mL). After solvent evaporation, the yellow oil was purified by column chromatography (silica gel, hexanes with 5% ethyl acetate) to afford a mixture of epimers 1 and 16 in a 1:1 ratio. The natural product 1 and the epi-isomer 16 were separated by HPLC (UVvis at 212 nm detector) with an analytical column (4.6 cm internal diameter and 25 cm length with 5 mm particles and 100 Å of pore) using hexane as an eluent (0.5 mL min⁻¹). (\pm) -Bakkenolide A (1).^{7d-f} ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 500 MHz) δ (ppm): 0.85 (d, 3H, J =6.8 Hz), 0.99 (s, 3H), 1.10-1.22 (m, 1H), 1.40-1.68 (m, 6H), 1.95 (d, 1H, J = 14.2 Hz), 1.98 (dd, 1H, $J_1 = 12.9$ Hz and $J_2 = 7.0$ Hz), 1.98 (d, 1H, J = 14.2 Hz), 2.09 (dd, 1H, $J_1 = 13.3$ Hz and J_2 = 12.9 Hz), 2.27 (dddd, 1H, J_1 = 13.3 Hz, J_2 = 7.0 Hz, J_3 = 4.9 Hz, and $J_4 = 2.3$ Hz), 4.74 (ddd, 1H, $J_1 = 12.8$ Hz, $J_2 = 2.3$ Hz, and $J_3 = 2.1$ Hz), 4.80 (ddd, 1H, $J_1 = 12.8$ Hz, $J_2 = 2.3$ Hz, and $J_3 = 2.1$ Hz), 5.03 (t, 1H, J = 2.1 Hz), 5.11 (t, 1H, J = 2.3 Hz). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 100 MHz) δ (ppm): 16.4 (CH₃), 19.2 (CH₃), 21.0 (CH₂), 23.3 (CH₃), 30.9 (CH₂), 33.9 (CH), 42.4 (CH₂), 44.0 (C), 46.2 (CH), 48.5 (CH₂), 49.9 (C), 70.4 (CH₂), 105.8 (CH₂), 150.4 (C), 182.6 (C). (±)7-epi-Bakkenolide A (16).^{7d} ¹H NMR $(\text{CDCl}_3, 500 \text{ MHz}) \delta$ (ppm): 0.82 (d, 3H, J = 6.7 Hz), 0.97 (s, 3H), 1.02-1.18 (m, 1H), 1.42-1.65 (m, 5H), 1.52 (d, 1H, J =14.1 Hz), 1.66 (dd, 1H, $J_1 = 12.5$ Hz and $J_2 = 6.6$ Hz), 1.91 (dqd, 1H, $J_1 = 12.5$ Hz, $J_2 = 6.7$ Hz, and $J_3 = 3.0$ Hz), 1.95-2.03 (m, 1H), 2.40 (d, 1H, J = 14.1 Hz), 2.46 (dd, 1H, $J_1 = 13.7$ Hz and J_2 = 12.5 Hz), 4.76 (ddd, 1H, J_1 = 12.9 Hz, J_2 = 2.2 Hz, and J_3 = 1.8 Hz), 4.81 (dd, 1H, $J_1 = 12.9$ Hz, $J_2 = 2.2$ Hz, and $J_3 = 1.8$ Hz), 4.99 (t, 1H, J = 1.8 Hz), 5.07 (t, 1H, J = 2.2 Hz). ¹³C NMR $(CDCl_3, 100 \text{ MHz}) \delta$ (ppm): 16.6 (CH₃), 19.7 (CH₃), 21.0 (CH₂), 23.6 (CH₃), 30.8 (CH₂), 33.0 (CH), 41.5 (CH₂), 44.1 (C), 46.9 (CH), 50.0 (C), 50.1 (CH₂), 70.1 (CH₂), 105.5 (CH₂), 152.0 (C), 182.3 (C). IR ν_{max} (neat KBr) (cm⁻¹): 894, 1030, 1124, 1236, 1462, 1672, 1778, 2958. HRMS (ESI-TOF): calculated for $C_{15}H_{23}O_2^+$ (MH⁺), 235.1693; found, 235.1695 ($\Delta = 0.8$ ppm).

Acknowledgment. The authors thank Professor Thomas G. Back for suggestions about HPLC separations, Professor Norberto P. Lopes and José C. Tomaz for the HRMS (ESI–TOF) analyses, the Companhia Brasileira de Mineralogia e Mineração (CBMM) for samples of NbCl₅, the Fundação de Amparo à Pesquisa do Estado de São Paulo (FAPESP), the Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico (CNPq), the Financiadora de Estudos e Projetos (FINEP), and the Coordenadoria de Aperfeiçoamento de Pessoal do Ensino Superior (CAPES) for financial support.

Supporting Information Available: The detailed experimental section includes the transformations of 2 + 3 to 4, 5 to 6, 6 to 7, 7 to 8a/8b, 8a/8b to 10/11, and 12/13 to 14/15 and ¹H NMR and ¹³C NMR spectra for key intermediates and final products. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http:// pubs.acs.org.

JO061722X